

A close-up photograph of a blue European Union flag with yellow stars, partially covered by several Euro coins and banknotes. The coins are stacked in small piles, and the banknotes are scattered around them. The background is slightly blurred, focusing attention on the flag and the currency.

ALLIANZ RESEARCH

# INSOLVENCIES : WE'LL BE BACK

**06 October 2021**

- 04 Massive state intervention and a strong economic catch-up helped suppress insolvencies since 2020
- 08 Where are the hotspots?
- 10 What will shape the path ahead?


# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



Maxime Lemerle  
Head of Sector and Insolvency Research  
+33 (0) 1 84 11 54 01  
[maxime.lemerle@eulerhermes.com](mailto:maxime.lemerle@eulerhermes.com)



Antoine Donnay  
Economic Research Assistant




Alexandre Saint  
Economic Research Assistant

- **The withdrawal of support measures for companies sets the stage for a gradual normalization of business insolvencies.** Our Global Insolvency Index<sup>1</sup> is likely to post a +15% y/y rebound in 2022, after two consecutive years of decline (-6% forecast in 2021 and -12% in 2020). Massive state intervention helped prevent one out of two insolvencies in Western Europe and one out of three in the US, resulting in a -12% drop overall in 2020. Looking ahead, we expect a fine-tuned and step-by-step withdrawal of support to manage the pressure on companies' liquidity and solvability amid the generally accommodative policy stance of monetary authorities. As a result, global business insolvencies are likely to remain at a low level in most countries by the end of 2021, with the delayed normalization only gaining traction in 2022. However, even in 2022, they would remain below pre-Covid-19 levels in most countries (by -4% on average).
- **Several European countries and Emerging Markets could see a resurgence much sooner than the US and parts of Asia.** Faced with a succession of lockdowns and less generous policy support, Africa should see business insolvencies exceed pre-Covid-19 levels by 2021 itself, while Central and Eastern Europe and Latin America will follow suit in 2022. Western Europe will post mixed trends: Spain and Italy are likely to see a large recovery of insolvencies by 2022 (5,110 and 10,500 insolvencies, respectively) due to their higher shares of sectors sensitive to Covid-19 restrictions. In contrast, France (37,000), Germany (16,300), Belgium (8,150) and the Netherlands (2,400) will take longer to return to pre-crisis levels because of large support packages and/or the extension of support measures. The US is the main outlier, with a low number of insolvencies likely both in 2021 and 2022 due mainly to the combination of massive support (notably the Paycheck Protection Program in 2020 and the recovery plan in 2021-22) and the fastest economic rebound in over three decades. Asia will also record less insolvencies in 2022, compared to 2019, thanks to its faster exit from the pandemic and its economic recovery.
- **Five factors will set the tone for the path ahead.** 1) The global momentum of the economic rebound, which will be decisive for the pace of removal of state support measures, and in turn impact the pace of business insolvency normalization: most advanced economies should see GDP growth above the +1.7% required to stabilize insolvencies in 2021-2022<sup>2</sup>. 2) The pace of withdrawal of state support, since it will also influence the cash-burning dynamic of companies as 3) many fragile companies will still be at high risk of default, notably the pre-Covid-19 'zombies' kept afloat by emergency measures and the companies weakened by extra indebtedness from the crisis. 4) The deterioration of companies' financials, which is adding to debt sustainability issues. And 5) the quick recovery of business creation, since the increase in the number of businesses will mechanically increase the base for potential insolvencies, particularly in sectors where creation is highly related to meeting new needs arising from the pandemic (i.e. home delivery, transportation and storage) but with uncertain viability.

<sup>1</sup> Covering 44 countries that account for 86% of global GDP, see statistical appendix


<sup>2</sup> See our report [Global economy: A cautious back to school](#).

**Figure 1:** Euler Hermes Global Insolvency Index and regional indices, yearly level, base 100 in 2019



Sources: Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

**Figure 2:** Euler Hermes insolvency indices by region – contribution to the yearly change in Global Insolvency Index



Sources: Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

**+15% y/y**

**Forecast for Euler Hermes Insolvency Index rebound in 2022  
after two consecutive years of decline.**

# MASSIVE STATE INTERVENTION AND A STRONG ECONOMIC CATCH-UP HELPED SUPPRESS INSOLVENCIES SINCE 2020


Despite sparking a slump in global GDP and trade in 2020, the Covid-19 shock did not translate into a wave of insolvencies. In fact, our Global Insolvency Index not only ended 2020 with a -12% y/y drop, but the decline remained steady and broad-based all along the year. Thirty-five out of the 44 countries of our sample (80%) recorded a decline for the full year - the latter reaching double-digit figures in three out of four cases (see statistical appendix). The exceptions were mainly the emerging economies of Central and Eastern Europe (+4% in Bulgaria, +13% in Turkey and +32% in Poland), Latin America (+2% in Colombia and +11% in Chile) and China (+1% to 11,826 cases), where insolvencies quickly returned to pre-Covid-19 levels in H2 2020 after a

noticeable but temporary surge in Q2 (+21% y/y). The largest declines were seen in India (-62% to 735 cases only, notably due to the specific duration of the suspension of courts) and in Western Europe overall (-18% for the regional index to the lowest level since 2007, with an above -30% drop seen in Austria, France, Italy and Belgium, see Figure 4).

**What explains the prolonged low level of insolvencies?** First, the rapid implementation of multiple support measures for companies (see box). Emergency packages helped companies cope with the unprecedented impact of lockdowns by preventing a liquidity crisis, notably among the sectors most severely affected by re-

strictions. De facto, the IMF estimates<sup>3</sup> that the first packages of public support provided for 60% of firms' increased liquidity needs and mitigated the increase in illiquid firms — with better results for advanced economies compared to Emerging Europe. Secondly, their renewal towards the end of 2020 and then the first half of 2021, albeit to a lesser extent, has also been crucial to avoid the cliff-edge effect and keep insolvencies under control. Third, the strong catch-up of the global economy mechanically gave substantial support to firms, especially in a context of generally accommodative monetary policy, which helped mitigate the risk of insolvencies induced by a too fast bouncing-back of activity<sup>4</sup>.

**Figure 3: Global (left) and regional (right) insolvency index – quarterly changes, y/y in %**



Sources: Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

<sup>3</sup> See the IMF working paper: *Corporate Liquidity and Solvency in Europe during COVID-19*


<sup>4</sup> A short-term (re-)acceleration of activity can translate into a concomitant increase in insolvencies when companies are facing a bounce-back of their activity that is too rapid for the financing of their working capital requirements (WCR). In France, past evidence points to 19 specific episodes of sectors posting a six-month phase of rapid recovery translating into an increase in insolvencies of +7% on average.

Looking at the historical sensitivity of insolvencies to macroeconomic trends<sup>5</sup>, we estimate ceteris paribus that the global economic shock could have resulted in a +40% surge in worldwide insolvencies in 2020. But since 2020 ended with a -12% decrease in insolvencies, it means that the massive state interventions and further extensions of

'whatever it takes' policies prevented more than 35% of insolvencies globally, at least temporarily. This number of 'spared' insolvencies is slightly lower in the US (32% i.e. 10,400 cases) and Germany (33% i.e. 7,800 cases), two countries that both started 2020 with a low number of cases and ended the year with a 'limited' decrease in insolvencies.


On average, we estimate that 'spared' insolvencies represent one out of two cases in Western Europe, but a (much) higher proportion in countries that implemented large state interventions, notably France (56% i.e. 41,000 cases) and the UK (55% i.e. 18,900 cases, see Figure 5).

**Figure 4: 2020 GDP growth and insolvencies in Western Europe**



Sources: National sources, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

**Figure 5: 2020 changes in insolvencies, ex post simulation vs observed figures, and 'spared' insolvencies, selected countries**



Sources: National sources, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

### Box: State interventions have helped hold off an insolvency wave

There have been two kinds of state interventions at play over 2020-2021: 1) temporary changes to insolvency regimes and 2) a broad range of temporary fiscal instruments.

The emergency adjustments of bankruptcy rules implemented in the initial phase of the crisis were essential for providing extra time and flexibility to companies before they resorted to filing for bankruptcy. Countries activated various levers, including:

- (i) the suspension of the debtor's obligation to file for bankruptcy (under certain conditions);
- (ii) the extension of deadlines for filing for bankruptcy;
- (iii) a moratorium to prevent creditor actions against a company;
- (iv) the relaxation of certain criteria to initiate a bankruptcy and winding-up applications (such as the threshold limit of unpaid debt).

Countries that made larger changes to insolvency frameworks most often posted sharp decreases in insolvencies in 2020, including France, Italy, Belgium, Australia and, since the end of 2020, the Netherlands. Conversely, countries with fewer or no changes to their insolvency regimes often recorded a more limited decrease in insolvencies (i.e. the US, Japan, Ireland and the Nordics).

Yet, the extension of temporary amendments did not always prevent a rebound in insolvencies (eg. in Spain) and their ending did not always kick start a pick-up in insolvencies (France, Switzerland). The uneven range, duration and effectiveness of fiscal interventions in place at the same time explain the diversity. Six key types of measures for companies were key to supporting liquidity and/or profitability:

- (i) tax deferrals, and direct/indirect tax cuts;
- (ii) state loans and guarantees;
- (iii) debt moratoriums;
- (iv) short-term work schemes (in particular in Western Europe);
- (v) cash transfers;
- (vi) equity-like injections, with some specific cases of large-scale recapitalization (i.e. in the air transport sector).

These discretionary fiscal instruments were massive and diverse<sup>6</sup> but unevenly available across countries and often with uneven modalities across sectors and/or types of companies.

<sup>5</sup> Our forecasting models are based on changes in macroeconomic indicators such as real GDP, nominal GDP, unemployment or unemployment rate depending on countries.

<sup>6</sup> See the monitoring of policy measures in response to the Covid-19 pandemic done by the European Systemic Risk Board for the European countries ([ESRB monitoring](#)) and by the IMF for all countries ([IMF policy tracker](#)) - the latter estimates the global fiscal support since 2020 at USD16.5bn or 15.9% of global GDP when including above-the-line measures of additional spending and foregone revenue, as well as below the line measures and contingent liabilities from guarantees and quasi-fiscal operations.

So far in 2021, there is still no sign of a trend reversal (see Figures 3 and 6). Our global index reached a new low in the first half of 2021 after two additional quarters on the downside (-19% y/y in Q1 and -3% y/y in Q2, respectively, i.e. -12% y/y for the first half of the year). Yet this outcome results from two opposing regional trends: on the one hand, North America and Asia both registering lower insolvencies and on the other, regions showing a pick-up in Q2 in annual comparison that is partly the materialization of the basis effect created by the impact of lockdown measures on business courts in the same period of 2020.

The first figures available for July and August indicate that the trend of low levels of insolvencies persists. This has kept the year-to-date number of insolvencies below 2020 figures in most countries in all regions, with the exception of Africa, where both Morocco and South Africa are already facing a significant rebound in insolvencies, as well as Hong Kong and India in Asia, Poland<sup>7</sup> and Romania in Eastern Europe and Colombia in Latin America. The Western Europe picture is also mixed, with three clusters as of September 2021:

(i) A majority of countries with still lower insolvencies than in 2020 and in 2019, including the UK, despite the start of a noticeable trend reversal.

(ii) Two countries already facing more insolvencies than in 2020, namely Italy (+50% y/y as of end August) and to a lesser extent Switzerland (+1%).

(iii) The remaining two other countries already back above the 2019 level of insolvencies: Luxembourg (by +5% as of August) and more significantly Spain (by +34%). Note that the latter is one of the three European countries that recorded the largest declines in insolvencies prior to the pandemic<sup>8</sup>.

Figure 6: Business insolvencies – figures available for 2021 (selected countries)

Country	Share in the Global Insolvency	Last point (y/y change in %)						
		As of	Last m	Last 3m	Last 6m	Last 12m	Ytd vs 2020	Ytd vs 2019
U.S.	28.6%	2021 Q2		-27%	-28%	-18%	-28%	-30%
Canada	2.3%	07-2021	-30%	-13%	-9%	-16%	-15%	-38%
Brazil	2.5%	08-2021	-17%	-10%	-3%	-18%	-5%	-28%
Colombia	0.4%	2021 Q2		32%	36%	27%	36%	36%
Chile	0.4%	07-2021	-28%	-19%	-8%	7%	-6%	-6%
Germany	5.1%	06-2021	-12%	-16%	-18%	-22%	-18%	-23%
France	3.6%	08-2021	-12%	-20%	-1%	-26%	-17%	-49%
United Kingdom	3.8%	08-2021	73%	47%	13%	-18%	-8%	-32%
Italy	2.7%	08-2021	3%	38%	97%	22%	50%	-16%
Spain	1.9%	08-2021	-38%	6%	77%	34%	53%	34%
The Netherlands	1.2%	08-2021	-36%	-43%	-48%	-44%	-49%	-53%
Switzerland	1.0%	08-2021	-6%	1%	13%	-5%	1%	-19%
Sweden	0.7%	08-2021	4%	3%	-18%	-16%	-17%	-12%
Norway	0.5%	08-2021	-18%	-16%	-9%	-18%	-20%	-36%
Belgium	0.7%	08-2021	-31%	-15%	-4%	-27%	-20%	-44%
Austria	0.6%	2021 Q2		-25%	-45%	-51%	-45%	-59%
Denmark	0.5%	08-2021	-42%	-23%	-16%	-14%	-10%	-20%
Finland	0.4%	2021 Q2		6%	-4%	-20%	-4%	-4%
Portugal	0.3%	08-2021	-16%	-23%	-9%	-10%	-4%	-7%
Ireland	0.5%	06-2021		-49%	-38%	-11%	-38%	-45%
Luxembourg	0.1%	08-2021	-26%	2%	28%	12%	21%	5%
Russia	2.3%	08-2021	-27%	-41%	-13%	-24%	-19%	-20%
Turkey	1.0%	07-2021	-25%	-6%	10%	4%	-11%	-6%
Poland	0.8%	08-2021	19%	77%	115%	97%	105%	124%
Romania	0.3%	07-2021	-44%	-14%	19%	1%	11%	-5%
Lithuania	0.1%	2021 Q2		-4%	-30%	-49%	-43%	-60%
Latvia	0.0%	08-2021	-15%	-14%	-31%	-21%	-34%	-64%
South Africa	0.5%	07-2021	-15%	-7%	25%	25%	22%	-3%
Morocco	0.2%	06-2021		1110%	100%	260%	100%	23%
China	19.1%	08-2021	-24%	-21%	-22%	-16%	-20%	-12%
Japan	6.9%	08-2021	-30%	-34%	-24%	-25%	-27%	-27%
India	3.8%	2021 Q2		48%	-30%	-67%	48%	-37%
Australia	1.9%	07-2021	6%	16%	-14%	-39%	-19%	-46%
South Korea	2.2%	07-2021	-62%	-33%	-35%	-17%	-29%	-59%
Taiwan	0.8%	07-2021	-50%	-20%	-14%	-8%	-3%	5%
Singapore	0.5%	07-2021	0%	-31%	-20%	-51%	-37%	-32%
Hong Kong	0.5%	07-2021	-	9%	118%	71%	85%	10%
New Zealand	0.3%	07-2021	5%	5%	0%	-11%	-5%	-18%

Sources: National sources, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

<sup>7</sup> In Poland, the rebound is partly explained by a change in legislation, which introduced a new proceeding (a simplified restructuring procedure) in response to the Covid-19 pandemic.

<sup>8</sup> The top three largest declines in insolvencies in Western Europe prior to the Covid-19 crisis were in Spain (-51% over 2013-2019), Portugal (-59% over 2012-2019) and Ireland (-66% over 2012-2019).

The uneven trend across Europe is also hiding uneven intra-annual trends by sectors (see Figure 7) – even if the services<sup>9</sup> sector remains the largest contributor to the number of insolvencies (73%), ahead of construction (16%), the manufacturing industry (10%) and agriculture (1%). Looking at Q2 2021 figures, Spain and Italy both quickly recovered their quarterly levels of insolvencies in most large sectors due to a broad-based trend reversal, but the latter is more homogeneous in Italy than in Spain. Spain is facing a noticeable pick-up in food and accommoda-

tion, transport and storage and construction. At the same time, insolvencies remain on the downside in food and accommodation in Germany, France and the Netherlands, but not in Belgium, and in trade in the Netherlands, France and Belgium, though they have stabilized in Germany. Conversely, insolvencies have moderately increased in construction in Belgium, France and Germany, and they plateaued at a low level in the manufacturing industry in most countries, except in Spain.

Under current circumstances, we expect

business insolvencies to remain at a low level in most countries by the end of 2021 and the delayed normalization to gain traction only in 2022. Our Global Insolvency Index would post a +15% y/y rebound in 2022, after two consecutive years of decline (-6% in 2021 and -11% in 2020), but business insolvencies would still remain below pre-Covid-19 levels in a majority of countries (by -4% in average).

**Figure 7:** Insolvencies by sector in Western Europe, by quarter, base 100: Q1 2019, selected countries



Sources: National sources, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

<sup>9</sup>Services include notably trade, transport and storage, food and accommodation, information and communication, other business services and other households services.

# WHERE ARE THE HOTSPOTS?

**Emerging Markets are already seeing a normalization of business insolvencies amid renewed restrictions in response to new waves of infections and less generous policy support.** We expect those in Africa to largely exceed pre-Covid-19 levels as soon as 2021, and those in Central/Eastern Europe and Latin America to do so in 2022.

After a noticeable decline in 2020-2021 due to the faster exit from the pandemic and the corresponding economic recovery, most Asian countries will post higher insolvencies in 2022 (+18% y/y for the region). India in particular will see a strong surge (+69% y/y) due to the specific duration of the suspension of courts over 2020-2021. However, while most countries will return to the 'natural' number and trend in insolven-

cies related to their business demographic and economic outlook, the region overall will still record less insolvencies in 2021 than in 2019, unless a prolonged resurgence of the virus continues to disrupt ports, plants and supply chains.

Europe, excluding notably Germany and France, will see the bulk of insolvencies materializing in 2022. The region is set to post mixed trends, with three main clusters of countries:


(i) Those that will see a large recovery of insolvencies by 2022, notably in the south due to a higher share of sectors sensitive to Covid-19 restrictions: Spain would register 5,110 and 5,740 insolvencies in 2021 and 2022, respectively, compared to 4,162 in 2019. In Italy, insolvencies would reach 10,500 cases

in 2021 and 12,000 cases in 2022, compared to 10,542 in 2019.

(ii) Those that will see a noticeable rebound in insolvencies in 2022, but not yet return to pre-Covid-19 levels: Switzerland (5,600 cases in 2022), Sweden (7,200), Portugal (2,510), Luxembourg (1,450) and to a lesser extent Denmark (2,400) and the UK (20,540).

(iii) Those with a delayed return to pre-crisis levels, due most often to large packages of support and/or the extension of their support measures: France (37,000 cases in 2022), Germany (16,300), Belgium (8,150) and the Netherlands (2,400).

**Figure 8: Business insolvencies in the US, by types of proceeding, number of cases**



Sources: National sources, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research






Photo by on Ursplash

In this context, the key exception would be the US, with a prolonged low number of insolvencies likely both in 2021 (16,800 cases) and 2022 (17,600). This is the result of the massive support and the strong economic rebound. Indeed, the three rounds of the Paycheck Protection Program<sup>10</sup> (PPP) may still be having a lingering effect. They provided ~35% of annual payroll for all small businesses in 2020, keeping untold

numbers out of insolvency all the way into this year, as seen by the number of Chapter 7 filings, the liquidation proceeding most often used by SMEs (see Figure 8). US companies should still benefit from a strong economy via the support from consumer spending (and the USD2.4trn in excess savings left over) after the strongest recovery over three decades likely in 2021. Yet, several factors could put an end to the

downside trend in insolvencies, notably (i) the expected tightening of monetary policy, with the reduction of purchases of Treasury and mortgage-backed securities by the Federal Reserve that will slow the flow of credit available in the financial system and (ii) the highly elevated amount of debt in all sectors.

<sup>10</sup> Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act aka CARES act (from 4 March to 16 March 2020), Health Care Enhancement Act (from 24 March to 08 August 2020) and Consolidated Appropriations Act (from 12 December 2020 to 31 May 2021 after a two-month extension signed by US President Biden)


# WHAT WILL SHAPE THE PATH AHEAD?

We expect the withdrawal of pandemic-related support measures to kick start a return to the normal level of insolvencies, but the trajectory will be both asymmetric — due to the multi-speed economic recovery<sup>11</sup> — and gradual

— due to the delicate but pragmatic phasing-out process.


— due to the delicate but pragmatic phasing-out process.

**Figure 9: Q3 2021 status of key support measures to companies, by region, in number of countries (\*)**



<sup>11</sup> See our latest macroeconomic scenario [Global economy: A cautious back-to-school](#)

Figure 10: Phasing-out of support measures, timeline of Q3 2021



Sources: Euler Hermes, Allianz Research


In practice, the phasing-out process has already begun (see Figures 9 and 10), especially in countries where an improving sanitary situation has allowed for a faster recovery (eg. in Asia and North America). It is also visible in countries where authorities have decided not to further extend some measures (i.e. the insolvency moratorium in France) or to switch to more targeted measures, for instance by excluding sectors not sensitive to Covid-19 restrictions<sup>12</sup> or specific companies, the non-viable ones, for some (or all) of the renewed support measures.

However, the expiration of support measures remains uncertain since the exact modalities of their ending have not always been defined and since they sometimes come with new measures to address specific situations to avoid a cliff-edge effect. This is notably the case in countries with more willingness and/or room for fiscal maneuvering to pursue further specific support measures or large 'whatever it takes' policies, such as Germany and France. As of Q3 2021, Europe is now the unique region where the key types of support to companies remain at play in most countries, notably furlough schemes/partial unemployment, public guarantees, tax deferrals and cash transfers.

We expect most governments, in particular in Europe, to continue fine-tuning their step-by-step withdrawal of support in line with improving macroeconomic situations and the impact of future lockdowns and other containment measures. In other words, having successfully helped companies to absorb the Covid-19 shock<sup>13</sup>, we expect most government to now prioritize the management of cash depletion, especially for the most fragile companies. This remains a delicate phase, as evidenced by the uneven tempo of cash-burning among European countries (see Figure 11), with a significantly stronger dynamic in Spain and Italy, two countries where insolvencies are on the upside.

<sup>12</sup> The sectors sensitive to Covid-19 restrictions are notably accommodation, food services, transportation and cyclical sectors such as non-essential retail and automotive, which are most exposed to lockdowns (In Europe, they represent one out of four companies as indicated in our report [Europe: One in four corporates will need more policy support in 2021 to avert a cash-flow crisis](#))

<sup>13</sup> See our reports [European corporates: \(active\) cash is king](#) (showing that European NFCs have seized the opportunity of state-guaranteed loans to build up cash reserves) and [Europe: an corporates: cash rich sectors get richer](#) (showing that NFCs now hold cash reserves equivalent to three months of turnover, more than half a month higher than pre-crisis averages).

**Figure 11:** Cash-burning indicator\*, selected countries

(\*) The cash-burning indicator is calculated at the country level as the ratio between the increase in activity (using nominal GDP as a proxy) and the change in net cash position (the latter being measured by the difference between NFC deposits and NFC new loans (up to EUR1mn)). A positive figure indicates higher cash-burning and vice versa.


Sources: Eurostat, ECB, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

Continuing to manage the pressure on companies' liquidity and solvability will be key to avoid a sudden bottleneck of the insolvency system that could come from two concerns. First is the high number of companies still at risk of default, a combination of pre-crisis 'zombies' and the companies made fragile by the crisis. The former are companies that were no longer viable before the crisis but were kept afloat by emergency measures and remain at risk of insolvencies in case of too weak a recovery, notably in the hardest-hit sectors. The latter are companies facing major turbulence in their business models because of Covid-19-induced changes in supply or demand, and notably those weakened by an extra amount of indebtedness resulting from the crisis (see Figure 12). This matters since the repayment of some state loans has begun in 2021 and the deterioration of companies' financials is adding to debt sustainability issues.

This is also evidenced by the overall situation of SMEs in Europe<sup>14</sup>: Our research shows that 7% of SMEs in Germany, 13% in France and 15% in the UK are still at risk of insolvency in the next four years.

The second concern is the risk of insolvencies associated with both the pre-Covid upside trend and the quick recovery of business creation (see Figure 13 for Europe). The increasing number of businesses is mechanically increasing the base for potential insolvencies, in particular in sectors where business creation is highly related to meeting new needs arising from the pandemic (i.e. home delivery, transportation and storage) but with uncertain viability.

At the same time, the global picture will also be determined by two factors at play in lowering the number of insolvencies: First, the macroeconomic momentum, since most countries are expected<sup>15</sup> to maintain a strong recovery pace in 2022. Most countries, notably

**Figure 12:** Non-financial corporations (NFC) debt ratio, in % of GDP, selected countries

Sources: Banque de France, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

advanced economies, are to record GDP growth that used to be sufficient to observe a decline in insolvencies. In Europe, for instance, GDP is to increase by more than +5% in 2021 and +4% in 2022, while the long-term average minimum growth required to stabilize insolvencies (see Figure 14) was +1.7% prior to the pandemic, with slightly different elasticities by country<sup>16</sup>. Second is a long-term trend that did not stop with the crisis: the growing number and higher usage of (amicable/preventive) out-of-court procedures across countries. These are by nature not taken into account in official insolvency statistics, which are massively based upon court publications. In addition, the extension of restructuring frameworks, notably within Europe since the EU Directive 2019/1023<sup>17</sup>, is not always included in the official statistics, lowering the number of liquidations.


<sup>14</sup> See our report [European SMEs: 7-15% at risk of insolvency in the next four years](#)

<sup>15</sup> See our latest macroeconomic scenario [Global economy: A cautious back-to-school](#)

<sup>16</sup> Differences in elasticity come partly from local specificities in insolvency frameworks in terms of existing types of insolvency and pre-insolvency proceedings, reliability and importance of official proceedings (notably for cost and duration issues), eligibility conditions and final 'orientation' (creditors-friendly vs debtor-friendly).


<sup>17</sup> See the [EU Directive 2019/2023](#)

**Figure 13:** Registrations of businesses, EU, seasonally adjusted figures, quarterly, index 2015=100



Sources: Eurostat, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

**Figure 14:** Minimum growth required to stabilize insolvencies



Sources: Euler Hermes, Allianz Research



**Table 2: Business insolvencies growth**

	% of																		
	World	Global	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021f	2022f	
<b>GLOBAL INSOLVENCY INDEX *</b>	<b>86.3</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>15%</b>	
North America Index *	26.7	30.9	37%	48%	39%	-9%	-15%	-16%	-16%	-18%	-7%	-2%	-4%	-4%	3%	-6%	-22%	5%	
U.S.	24.7	28.6	42%	52%	41%	-7%	-15%	-16%	-17%	-19%	-8%	-2%	-4%	-4%	3%	-5%	-22%	5%	
Canada	2.0	2.3	-7%	-2%	-12%	-25%	-11%	-11%	-2%	-2%	-1%	-7%	-6%	-1%	3%	-23%	-10%	16%	
Latin America Index *	2.9	3.3	-18%	-19%	29%	-11%	-6%	15%	15%	19%	56%	41%	17%	36%	12%	1%	-2%	9%	
Brazil	2.2	2.5	-21%	-27%	25%	-16%	-9%	26%	12%	3%	25%	25%	1%	1%	5%	-28%	6%	32%	
Colombia	0.4	0.4	-32%	1%	88%	29%	-2%	-8%	21%	61%	31%	4%	4%	53%	2%	2%	12%	3%	
Chile	0.3	0.4	8%	5%	14%	-23%	2%	-5%	11%	16%	146%	89%	39%	32%	23%	11%	-8%	5%	
Western Europe Index *	20.6	23.9	-10%	32%	33%	-4%	4%	10%	7%	-17%	-10%	-7%	-5%	0%	0%	-18%	9%	16%	
Euro zone Index *	15.2	17.6	-10%	33%	38%	-2%	5%	14%	9%	-18%	-10%	-10%	-7%	-2%	1%	-18%	10%	17%	
Germany	4.4	5.1	-15%	0%	12%	-2%	-6%	-6%	-8%	-7%	-4%	-7%	-7%	-4%	-3%	-16%	-5%	9%	
France	3.1	3.6	6%	11%	15%	-4%	0%	2%	3%	0%	1%	-7%	-7%	-1%	-5%	-38%	-17%	40%	
United Kingdom	3.3	3.8	-20%	28%	16%	-16%	5%	-7%	-13%	-10%	-12%	8%	2%	3%	-2%	-30%	10%	20%	
Italy	2.3	2.7	-41%	22%	25%	20%	8%	3%	10%	15%	-5%	-9%	-12%	-6%	0%	-32%	47%	14%	
Spain	1.6	1.9	13%	177%	73%	-4%	18%	34%	22%	-31%	-19%	-13%	-4%	0%	6%	-5%	30%	12%	
The Netherlands	1.0	1.2	-23%	1%	72%	-11%	-4%	21%	13%	-19%	-21%	-17%	-23%	-6%	4%	-16%	-44%	34%	
Switzerland	0.8	1.0	-5%	-10%	4%	15%	1%	-4%	1%	-7%	7%	3%	3%	31%	-4%	-18%	4%	10%	
Sweden	0.6	0.7	-6%	9%	21%	-5%	-4%	7%	3%	-7%	-10%	-6%	6%	13%	2%	-1%	-7%	7%	
Norway	0.5	0.5	-6%	28%	38%	-12%	-2%	-12%	20%	5%	-7%	2%	0%	10%	0%	-19%	-29%	10%	
Belgium	0.6	0.7	1%	10%	11%	2%	7%	4%	11%	-9%	-9%	-6%	9%	-1%	7%	-32%	3%	10%	
Austria	0.5	0.6	-6%	0%	9%	-8%	-8%	3%	-10%	-1%	-5%	1%	-3%	-2%	1%	-40%	-8%	61%	
Denmark	0.4	0.5	21%	54%	-10%	-3%	-22%	4%	-15%	-21%	15%	18%	-4%	7%	6%	-14%	-8%	17%	
Finland	0.3	0.4	-1%	14%	30%	-11%	1%	1%	7%	-6%	-12%	-7%	-9%	14%	1%	-17%	-5%	11%	
Greece	0.2	0.3	-2%	-35%	8%	3%	25%	-4%	-4%	-23%	-39%	-46%	3%	-28%	-23%	-10%	0%	18%	
Portugal	0.3	0.3	18%	45%	31%	7%	11%	39%	-10%	-20%	4%	-23%	-14%	-13%	-5%	-4%	-10%	13%	
Ireland	0.5	0.5	3%	113%	82%	8%	7%	3%	-19%	-15%	-10%	-2%	-15%	-12%	-26%	1%	-23%	46%	
Luxembourg	0.1	0.1	0%	-6%	20%	32%	8%	8%	2%	-19%	3%	13%	0%	33%	7%	-10%	4%	7%	
Central & Eastern Europe Index *	4.6	5.3	-3%	-24%	12%	14%	0%	9%	7%	0%	0%	-4%	4%	-16%	3%	3%	-10%	13%	
Russia	1.9	2.3	-9%	-50%	-24%	-17%	-9%	1%	-13%	5%	7%	4%	10%	-11%	14%	-13%	-17%	12%	
Turkey	0.9	1.0	5%	-4%	9%	29%	12%	7%	8%	-9%	-13%	-10%	19%	-8%	3%	13%	-15%	16%	
Poland	0.7	0.8	-26%	-13%	60%	3%	6%	29%	-2%	-11%	-9%	8%	12%	10%	-1%	32%	62%	2%	
Czech Republic	0.3	0.3	-10%	2%	94%	15%	49%	48%	57%	50%	0%	-11%	-10%	-15%	40%	-8%	-14%	5%	
Romania	0.3	0.3	0%	3%	27%	18%	-9%	36%	10%	-30%	-50%	-18%	9%	-9%	-21%	-13%	8%	12%	
Hungary	0.2	0.2	6%	13%	33%	20%	14%	13%	-40%	29%	-45%	-21%	-13%	-13%	-9%	-17%	20%	17%	
Slovakia	0.1	0.1	-54%	-27%	35%	33%	-7%	-2%	12%	-12%	-11%	-20%	77%	124%	25%	-23%	-10%	7%	
Bulgaria	0.1	0.1	31%	17%	-5%	7%	15%	1%	26%	-23%	-17%	-16%	-1%	9%	6%	4%	5%	9%	
Lithuania	0.1	0.1	-20%	58%	93%	-11%	-22%	10%	11%	9%	18%	38%	9%	-30%	-23%	-51%	-20%	27%	
Latvia	0.0	0.0	16%	60%	59%	-2%	-68%	7%	-7%	17%	-16%	-9%	-20%	2%	-6%	-33%	-30%	27%	
Estonia	0.0	0.0	-43%	109%	149%	-2%	-39%	-21%	-7%	-7%	-12%	-11%	2%	-20%	-1%	26%	-10%	7%	
Africa Index *	0.5	0.6	3%	14%	66%	-7%	-9%	-12%	1%	-2%	-6%	10%	5%	-4%	32%	-17%	37%	1%	
South Africa	0.4	0.5	4%	5%	25%	-3%	-11%	-24%	-13%	-13%	-5%	-1%	-3%	-1%	11%	0%	8%	-5%	
Morocco	0.1	0.2	0%	35%	150%	1%	-7%	2%	3%	0%	-7%	9%	16%	-7%	35%	-22%	48%	2%	
Asia-Pacific Index *	31.0	36.0	11%	6%	-8%	-8%	-4%	-5%	-6%	-11%	7%	-2%	28%	42%	19%	-15%	-8%	18%	
China	16.5	19.1	20%	5%	-2%	-16%	-18%	-13%	-4%	2%	24%	11%	74%	69%	12%	1%	-10%	11%	
Japan	5.9	6.9	6%	11%	-1%	-14%	-4%	-5%	-10%	-10%	-9%	-4%	0%	-2%	2%	-7%	-23%	10%	
India	3.3	3.8													98%	-62%	13%	69%	
Australia	1.6	1.9	0%	30%	4%	6%	13%	3%	3%	-18%	22%	-19%	-7%	3%	1%	-44%	1%	33%	
South Korea	1.9	2.2	-9%	19%	-27%	-21%	-13%	-10%	-18%	-16%	-14%	-23%	-11%	-5%	-12%	-29%	-18%	5%	
Taiwan	0.7	0.8	68%	-23%	-58%	-21%	-4%	-1%	-18%	-37%	23%	25%	12%	-4%	-6%	-2%	10%	5%	
Singapore	0.4	0.5	-18%	25%	2%	5%	-20%	34%	-17%	28%	17%	-1%	-10%	23%	39%	-30%	8%	7%	
Hong Kong	0.4	0.5	-18%	3%	22%	-24%	-24%	-6%	-12%	-1%	13%	7%	9%	-14%	-4%	-4%	24%	3%	
New Zealand	0.2	0.3	-6%	34%	4%	-9%	-12%	-4%	-5%	-2%	-10%	-7%	-9%	3%	-11%	-15%	3%	14%	

(\*) The Euler Hermes Global (or regional) Insolvency Index is the weighted sum of national indices, each country being weighted by the share of its GDP within the countries used in the sample (44 countries representing 86% of global GDP in 2020). National indices are based upon national sources or Euler Hermes internal data on insolvencies, using a base of 100 in year 2000. Forecasts are reviewed each quarter, with the agreement of EH business units.

(\*\*) GDP 2020 weighing at current exchange rates

Sources: National sources, Euler Hermes, Allianz Research (e: estimate; f: forecast) - Data are available on the webapp [MindYourReceivables](https://www.eulerhermes.com/mindyourreceivables)

# OUR TEAM

## Chief Economist of Allianz



Ludovic Subran  
Chief Economist  
ludovic.subran@allianz.com

## Global Head Economic Research, Euler Hermes



Ana Boata  
ana.boata@eulerhermes.com

## Global Head Macroeconomic & Capital Markets Research, Allianz SE



Andreas Jobst  
andreas.jobst@allianz.com

## Global Head of Insurance, Wealth and Trends Research



Arne Holzhausen  
arne.holzhausen@allianz.com

## Macroeconomic Research



Selin Ozyurt  
Senior Economist for France and  
Africa  
selin.ozyurt@eulerhermes.com



Katharina Utermöhl  
Senior Economist for Europe, DACH  
katharina.utermoehl@allianz.com



Senior Economist for Latin America,  
Spain & Portugal  
research@eulerhermes.com



Françoise Huang  
Senior Economist for APAC and Trade  
francoise.huang@eulerhermes.com



Manfred Stamer  
Senior Economist for Middle East  
and Emerging Europe  
manfred.stamer@eulerhermes.com



Dan North  
Senior Economist for North America  
dan.north@eulerhermes.com

## Sector Research



Maxime Lemerle  
Head Sector and Insolvency Research  
maxime.lemerle@eulerhermes.com



Aurélien Duthoit  
Sector Advisor for Retail, Electronics-related sectors, Textile  
and Household Equipment  
aurelien.duthoit@eulerhermes.com



Marc Livinec  
Sector Advisor for Chemicals, Pharma, Paper,  
Transportation, Agrifood and Transport  
Equipment  
marc.livinec@eulerhermes.com



Ano Kuanathan  
Sector Advisor for Energy, Construction, Metals, Machinery,  
and Data Scientist  
ano.kuanathant@eulerhermes.com

## Insurance, Wealth and Trends Research



Michaela Grimm  
Senior Expert, Demographics  
michaela.grimm@allianz.com



Markus Zimmer  
Senior Expert, ESG  
markus.zimmer@allianz.com



Alexis Garatti, Senior Economist for ESG  
and Public Policy  
alexis.garatti@eulerhermes.com



Patricia Pelayo Romero  
Expert, Insurance  
patricia.pelayo-romero@allianz.com

## Capital Markets Research



Eric Barthalon  
Head of Capital Markets Research  
eric.barthalon@allianz.com



Jordi Basco Carrera  
Senior Investment Expert  
jordi.basco\_carrera@allianz.com



Patrick Krizan  
Senior Economist for Italy and  
Greece, Fixed Income  
patrick.krizan@allianz.com



Pablo Espinosa Uriel  
Capital Markets Research Analyst  
pablo.espinosa-Uriel@allianz.com



# RECENT PUBLICATIONS

01/10/2021	<a href="#">Money supply, saving &amp; hoarding: What you see is not what you get</a>
28/09/2021	<a href="#">Eurozone: reflation is not stagflation</a>
23/09/2021	<a href="#">How to future-proof the German Wirtschaftswunder</a>
22/09/2021	<a href="#">Climate policy: Time for a "blood, toil, tears and sweat" speech</a>
17/09/2021	<a href="#">Global economy: A cautious back-to-school</a>
15/09/2021	<a href="#">European food retailers: The bitter digital aftertaste of the Covid-19 legacy</a>
09/09/2021	<a href="#">Life after death: The phoenix-like rising of Japan's life industry</a>
08/09/2021	<a href="#">Export performance in Europe: a sink or swim game</a>
02/09/2021	<a href="#">ECB: Roaring reflation no reason to flinch</a>
01/09/2021	<a href="#">European SMEs: 7-15% at risk of insolvency in the next four years</a>
30/07/2021	<a href="#">Europe's pent-up demand party is just getting started</a>
28/07/2021	<a href="#">Australia's pension system: No reform can replace financial literacy</a>
27/07/2021	<a href="#">Chip shortages to boost carmakers' pricing power in Europe</a>
22/07/2021	<a href="#">Corporates need half a trillion of additional working capital requirement financing</a>
21/07/2021	<a href="#">SPACs: Healthy normalization ahead</a>
15/07/2021	<a href="#">EU CBAM: Well intended is not necessarily well done</a>
13/07/2021	<a href="#">Postponed motherhood may help narrow the income and pension gaps</a>
08/07/2021	<a href="#">Global trade: Ship me if you can!</a>
05/07/2021	<a href="#">France vs Germany: No #Euro2020 final but a tie against Covid-19</a>
01/07/2021	<a href="#">This is (Latin) America: The unequal cost of living</a>
30/06/2021	<a href="#">China's corporate debt: Triaging in progress</a>
24/06/2021	<a href="#">Emerging Markets debt relief</a>
23/06/2021	<a href="#">Allianz Pulse 2021: Old beliefs die hard</a>
17/06/2021	<a href="#">The Covid-19 crisis emphasizes wider fertility challenges</a>
15/06/2021	<a href="#">US yields: Where the music plays</a>
11/06/2021	<a href="#">G7 corporate tax deal: who is winning, who is losing?</a>
09/06/2021	<a href="#">Grand reopening: new opportunities, old risks</a>
02/06/2021	<a href="#">European corporates: It could take 5 years to offload Covid-19 debt</a>
31/05/2021	<a href="#">The flaw in the liquidity paradigm: Lessons from China</a>
27/05/2021	<a href="#">French export barometer: 8 out of 10 companies aim to increase exports in 2021</a>
26/05/2021	<a href="#">Semiconductors realpolitik : A reality check for Europe</a>

Discover all our publications on our websites: [Allianz Research](#) and [Euler Hermes Economic Research](#)


Director of Publications: Ludovic Subran, Chief Economist  
Allianz and Euler Hermes  
Phone +49 89 3800 7859

Allianz Research  
[https://www.allianz.com/en/economic\\_research](https://www.allianz.com/en/economic_research)

Königinstraße 28 | 80802 Munich | Germany  
allianz.research@allianz.com

Euler Hermes Economic Research  
<http://www.eulerhermes.com/economic-research>

1 Place des Saisons | 92048 Paris-La-Défense Cedex | France  
research@eulerhermes.com



## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained herein may include prospects, statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements that are based on management's current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results, performance or events may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements.

Such deviations may arise due to, without limitation, (i) changes of the general economic conditions and competitive situation, particularly in the Allianz Group's core business and core markets, (ii) performance of financial markets (particularly market volatility, liquidity and credit events), (iii) frequency and severity of insured loss events, including from natural catastrophes, and the development of loss expenses, (iv) mortality and morbidity levels and trends, (v) persistency levels, (vi) particularly in the banking business, the extent of credit defaults, (vii) interest rate levels, (viii) currency exchange rates including the EUR/USD exchange rate, (ix) changes in laws and regulations, including tax regulations, (x) the impact of acquisitions, including related integration issues, and reorganization measures, and (xi) general competitive factors, in each case on a local, regional, national and/or global basis. Many of these factors may be more likely to occur, or more pronounced, as a result of terrorist activities and their consequences.

## NO DUTY TO UPDATE

The company assumes no obligation to update any information or forward-looking statement contained herein, save for any information required to be disclosed by law.