ALLIANZ RESEARCH

JOE BIDEN'S INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN: DEFYING GRAVITY

06 April 2021

ALEXIS GARATTI Head of Economic Research Alexis.garatti@eulerhermes.com

ANITA POULOU Research Assistant Anita.poulou@allianz.com

LUCAS MOURTADA Research Assistant Lucas.mourtada@eulerhermes.com

Biden's USD2.3trn 'Build Back Better' infrastructure plan (see annex 1 for details) represents the second stage of a three-phase stimulus rocket and will be a long-term driver of growth in the US. We expect the infrastructure investments to postpone the inexorable decline of the US economy's growth potential, maintaining it above +1.8% y/y at the horizon of 2030 (vs. around 1.4% y/y without the infrastructure plan). Following the first level of stimulus implemented in 2020 and early 2021 (in total USD5trn between the CARES Act, Covid Relief Package and the American Rescue Plan), we now expect the total supplementary public spending in the US to top USD1.1trn in 2021. As a result, our central scenario forecasts GDP growth of +5.3% y/y in 2021, followed by +3.8% in 2022. However, if Biden manages to pass the third phase of the stimulus rocket (focused on education, childcare and healthcare and estimated at between USD1trn-USD2trn, to be announced in the coming weeks), US GDP growth could reach +6.5% y/y in 2021 and +4.5% y/y in 2022 (see Figure 1). For now, we expect the size of the total stimulus to be constrained by tough negotiations, even with moderate Democrats, over increasing taxes on the wealthiest households and corporates to finance higher public spending. The third phase of Biden's plan is therefore not included for the moment in our central scenario.

Figure 1-GDP growth (y/y) impact of Build Back Better program (with and without the USD1.5trn of supplementary spending in healthcare, childcare and education)



Source: Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

In a <u>recent report</u>, we showed how the US economy's GDP growth potential is determined by the yearly growth in productivity (positive coefficient), the active population (positive coefficient), the share of imports in total valueadded (negative coefficient) and the level of debt as a percentage of GDP





(negative coefficient). In order to integrate the impact of a large infrastructure program, we integrate in this equation the share of public investment as a percentage of total public spending (see our estimate in Annex 2) in order to factor in the most durable aspects of public policy and match the innovative aspect of this new infrastructure program. This variable is significant with a positive coefficient. We reiterate our assumptions that Democratic administrations on average correspond to below average performance in terms of productivity growth and above average performance in terms of the growth of active population. In respect of the share of imports in the percentage of total value-added, we assume a progressive increase from 14% in Q4 2020 to 16% at the horizon of 2030. In order to identify different trajectories of growth potential (Figure 2) corresponding to different sizes of infrastructure programs, we compute two different trajectories of the ratio of public investment to total public spending (central case: USD2.3trn of infrastructure spending more than offsetting the US infrastructure gap, and an alternative scenario with USD1trn of infrastructure spending less than offsetting the infrastructure gap). In our central scenario, US GDP growth should be close to +1.8% y/y at the horizon of 2030 compared with our previous scenario, which factored in a lower size of overall fiscal packages.

Figure 2 – Impact on US GDP growth potential of infrastructure programs (in function of size)



Source: Euler Hermes, Allianz Research

EULER HERMES

The first leg of the Build Back Better program will more than offset the US infrastructure gap over 2020-2030, which we estimate at 6.8% of 2020 US GDP or USD1.42trn. Our proprietary infrastructure investment need index estimates the infrastructure spending gap over 2020-2030 compared with a benchmark in the Netherlands. Infrastructure investment needs are computed using multiple indicators of railway, electricity, water, sanitary, road, and internet infrastructure quality. For the US, we identify the needs by infrastructure type (Figure 3) at USD395bn for roadway and motorway networks, USD866bn for railways and USD304bn for power grid system. Indeed, the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) has given the nation's overall infrastructure a C- grade in 2021. US infrastructure investment needs are +1.4pp higher than those of the EU (5.4% of GDP), +2.6pp higher than those of France (4.2% of GDP) and finally +2.9pp higher than those of Germany (3.9% of GDP) (see Figure 5). However, they remain



much smaller compared with China's (38.9% of GDP).

	Total 2020-2030		ave./year	
	bn USD	% of GDP	bn USD	% of GDP
Roads	0	0.0%	0.0	0.00%
on wich upgrading	395	1.9%	39.5	0.19%
Railways	866	4.2%	86.6	0.42%
Electricity	304	1.5%	30.4	0.15%
Water	48	0.2%	4.8	0.02%
Sanitation	77	0.4%	7.7	0.04%
Internet	81	0.4%	8.1	0.04%
Mobiles	47	0.2%	4.7	0.02%
Total	1423	6.8%	142.3	0.7%

Figure 3 – US infrastructure investment needs over 2020-2030

Source: UN, World Bank, IMF, OICA, IEA, Euler Hermes calculations and projections





Source: UN, World Bank, IMF, OICA, IEA, Euler Hermes calculations and projections





Source: Euler Hermes calculations and projections

Figure 6 – EU infrastructure investment needs over 2020-2030* (USDbn)



3





Source: UN, World Bank, IMF, OICA, IEA, Euler Hermes calculations and projections

The Build Back Better program has the potential to create 21 million jobs in the US by 2030 but... Our proxy of "durable investment" (public investment to total public spending ratio) is expected to increase from 21% to 24% at the horizon of 2030. A simple correlation analysis suggests that such regime switch has the potential to create 21 million jobs. This estimate is in line with the Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce's own estimate as they identify a potential of 15 million jobs created with a USD1.5trn infrastructure program¹. During large programs of infrastructure investments, jobs are concentrated in transportation and material moving (see Annex 3) as well as construction and extraction. But such a performance in terms of job creation would require a maximum of private actors' participation, i.e. a minimum of crowding-out effects.

There is a low likelihood to see crowding-in effects (a + 1%) increase in government investment leads to a +0.5% increase of private nonresidential investment) outweigh crowding-out effects (a +1% rise of government consumption spending triggers a -2.4% decline of private investment). Phases of large fiscal stimuli generally produce ambiguous effects on private investment. In order to disentangle crowding-in and crowding-out effects, we estimate an investment function (annex 4) connecting non-residential investment (in yearly variation) to the government's consumption expenditures, public investment, profits (with a one-year lag) and taxes expressed as a percentage of profits (negative coefficient). In this equation, crowding-out and crowding-in effects are well separated: public consumption expenditures negatively impact private non-residential investment as a +1% increase of the former reduces by -2.4% the latter (in line with Ricardian equivalence, private investors reduce their spending when anticipating higher future tax rates); on the other hand, government investment (including infrastructure spending) has a positive effect as a +1% increase of public investment increases by +0.5%private investment. Over the last five years, government consumption expenditures on average increased by +1.3% y/y, while public investment grew by +3.2% y/y. This means that public action over the last five years rather contributed negatively to growth of private investment. A rebalancing toward public investment (long-term spending) and a stabilization of short-term spending are required in order to initiate a new virtuous and long-lasting investment cycle. The probable rise of the tax rate will also be a clear limit when aiming at higher job creation at the

¹ <u>15 million Infrastructure Jobs: An Economic Shot in the Arm to the Covid-19 Recession</u>





4

horizon of 2030.





Annex 1 – Joe Biden's infrastructure investment plan – base case (USDbn green color to parts potentially associated with green transition)

ransportation infrastructure	621	28%
Improvement of bridges, highways, roads, and main streets	115	
Improving road safety	20	
Modernizing public transportation systems	85	
Addressing Amtrak's repair backlog	80	
Promoting Evs sales, developing charging stations, retooling automobile factories	174	
Upgrading ports, waterways and airports	42	
Others	105	
ater, eletrical and digital infrastructures	311	14%
Upgrading drinking water, wastewater and stormwater systems nationwide	111	
Building more resilient electric transmissions system, extension of a clean energy generation and storage tax credit, cleaning up abandoned mines, oil and gas wells	100	
Extending high-speed broadband infrastructure across the country	100	
ousing infrastructure	338	15%
Building, renovating and retrofitting homes and housing units	213	
Building new schools, improving public school buildings	100	
Others	25	1
uman capital & competitiveness investments	980	44%
Investments to boost R&D of breakthrough technologies (electric batteries, 5G, Al, biotechnology, CO2 capture and storage technologies), upgrading the country's research infrastructure and labs at universities and federal agencies	180	
Investments in workforce development programs	100	
Boosting semiconductor, medical manufacturing, investing in clean energy production, federal procurements	300	
Investment in care services for elderly and disabled Americans, modernization of Veterans Affairs hospitals and clinics	400	
otal	2250	100%

Source: Euler Hermes calculations and projections





Annex 2. Estimation of US GDP growth potential Dependent Variable: POTENTIAL Method: Least Squares (Gauss-Newton / Marquardt steps) Date: 03/29/21 Time: 17:19 Sample (adjusted): 2002Q1 2020Q4 Included observations: 76 after adjustments POTENTIAL=C(1)+C(2)*ACTIVE(-4)+C(3)*PRODUCTIVITY+C(5)*DEBT +C(4)*IMPORTS+C(6)*(TOTINV/TOTSPEND)

Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob.

C(1)	2.332050	1.275124	1.828881	0.0717
C(2)	0.104066	0.018654	5.578642	0.0000
C(3)	0.059405	0.010808	5.496525	0.0000
C(5)	-0.011476	0.001470	-7.808572	0.0000
C(4)	-0.177590	0.020916	-8.490603	0.0000
C(6)	16.44809	5.724336	2.873363	0.0054
R-squared	0.788800	Mean de	ependent va	1.933434
Adjusted R	0.773715	S.D. de	pendent var	0.432867
S.E. of reg	0.205912	Akaike i	nfo criterion	-0.247076
Sum squa	2.967993	Schwar	z criterion	-0.063070
Log likeliho	15.38888	Hannan	-Quinn crite	-0.173538
F-statistic	52.28798	Durbin-	Natson sta	0.662198
Prob(F-sta	0.000000			

Annex 3: Occupational distribution of large US infrastructure programs

Occupations	Jobs	
Transportation and material moving	8 891 000	
Construction and extraction	1 624 000	
Office and administrative support	1 243 000	
Installation, maintenance and repair	1 019 000	
Architectural and engineering	950 000	
Management	532 000	
Production	300 000	
Life, physical and social science	229 000	
Business and financial operations	150 000	
Protective service	51 000	
Farming, fishing and forestry	12 000	
Total	15 000 000	

Source: Center on Education and the Workforce of Georgetown University

Annex 4. Estimate of private non-residential investment (R2 = 70%)

	Coefficients	Erreur-type	Statistique t	Probabilité
Constant	6,13872138	1,08046237	5,68156888	3,6645E-07
Pub. Cons.	-2,4225422	0,49239238	-4,9199424	6,5304E-06
Public Inv	0,54419736	0,28172292	1,93167587	0,05790026
Profits	0,04355431	0,02130581	2,04424583	0,04511411
Taxes	-0,3271086	0,15775645	-2,0735041	0,04221986





These assessments are, as always, subject to the disclaimer provided below.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained herein may include prospects, statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements that are based on management's current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results, performance or events may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements.

Such deviations may arise due to, without limitation, (i) changes of the general economic conditions and competitive situation, particularly in the Allianz Group's core business and core markets, (ii) performance of financial markets (particularly market volatility, liquidity and credit events), (iii) frequency and severity of insured loss events, including from natural catastrophes, and the development of loss expenses, (iv) mortality and morbidity levels and trends, (v) persistency levels, (vi) particularly in the banking business, the extent of credit defaults, (vii) interest rate levels, (viii) currency exchange rates including the EUR/USD exchange rate, (ix) changes in laws and regulations, including tax regulations, (x) the impact of acquisitions, including related integration issues, and reorganization measures, and (xi) general competitive factors, in each case on a local, regional, national and/or global basis. Many of these factors may be more likely to occur, or more pronounced, as a result of terrorist activities and their consequences.

NO DUTY TO UPDATE

The company assumes no obligation to update any information or forward-looking statement contained herein, save for any information required to be disclosed by law.



8

